Muz 34]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

1907

File No. 281

SUBJECT.

Claim of the Melbagal Matt in the Shirthahalli Taluk for the remission of Khalsat on certain Devadaya Inam lands of the Matt.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. [illegible]

SUBJECT.

Re the claim of the Mulbagal Matt in the Thirthahalli Taluk for the remission of Khalsa on certain Devadaya Inam lands of the Matt.

From

The Secretary to Govt., General and Revenue departments.

Unofficial No. 180, Dated 2nd June 1908.

From the Office Note on the Revenue Mis: File No. 150 of 1906 of the General & Revenue Secretariat, the following facts are observed.

The case relates to an appeal preferred to Govt. by the Mulbagal Matt in the Thirthahalli Taluk against the imposition of the Khalsa on certain Devadaya Inam lands of the Matt, during Survey Settlement. The Matt Agent prays for the remission of such excess assessment, under orders contained in Govt. Pro: No. R. 2973-83, dated 9th January 1902.

A report having been called for from the

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga district, that officer reports that the Inam lands of the Matt are situated in the 3 villages of Mulbagal, Hunsavalli, and Hirgère in the Honnihalli Taluk, measuring in the aggregate 1304 acres 4 guntas and assessed at Rs 3401/-, that out of them 999 acres and 6 guntas and assessed at Rs 2,995-8-0 have been confirmed as Inam, while the remaining 307 acres 38 guntas have been treated as Khalsa and charged with the full assessment viz Rs 405-8-0. He further states that out of the last mentioned amount, Rs 23-4-9 appertains to Brahmadaya (personal), and the balance of Rs 382-3-3 to the Devadaya lands, and recommends that, under the terms of the Govt Pro: of the 9th January 1902, the Khalsa on the Devadaya lands may be remitted.

The Inam Superintendent's opinion was then called for. He states that the Matt lands are settled as Mixed Personal and Devadaya and that at the time of the inspection of the Khalsa Regr in 1879, neither the Amildar nor the Matt authorities could localize the Inams separately, and expresses the opinion that the grant of the Matt's application would result in a loss to Govt and cause confusion and interference with the original Settlement.

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. of 190

Mr P.G.D'Souza, Under Secretary to Govt, however thinks it hard that the Matt should suffer, if on account of defective enquiry on the part of the Amildar or failure on the part of the representatives of the Matt, it should be permanently deprived of its Devadaya Inam lands, as by the intermixture they may pass into the possession of persons unconnected with the Matt. He further states that when the disadvantages of combining Devadaya and Personal inams were urged in the Representative Assembly, the reply has been that Govt do not consider it expedient to direct a general enquiry into the case of such intermixture, but that if any individual case is brought to notice, it will be enquired into separately. Now that a specific case is brought to notice, he thinks, that to say that the grant of the prayer will encourage several similar applications and lead to some loss to Govt,

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

by the rules of 1902 being taken advantage of if any part of the Inam is declared devadaya, is inconsistent with the spirit which prompted the above reply at the Representative Assembly. He therefore expresses the opinion that the case may be remanded to the Inam Supdt. for a further enquiry with a view to separate the Devadaya from the Brahmadaya portion, if possible.

The Inam investigation records were accordingly sent for from the Inam Supdt. and the case is in the file.

At this stage of the case, the papers were seen sent to the Inam Commissioner,

In the case of this mixed Inam both Brahmadaya and enfranchised Devadaya Brahmadaya lands in the Uthamapalayam Taluk the Joint Inam Commissioner, the Settlement Officer

Mu A 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. of 190

(Vide linked file)

have in Rc: i/o R 1547-15 & R 537-15-16 ... & ... Anantapur, directed that the ... should be separated by ... fenced

In the present case, the ... matt is a Muzrai institution ... it appears desirable that the ... lands belonging to the matt should be separated and the Khaleel-ennatti ...

Submitted for orders

Where is the ... of the matt referred to in the ... letter ... etc.

dy 31/7/08

Submitted to the second Committee

I have nothing to add to Mr. D'Souza's remarks. In a similar case the ... (X in linked file) ... has been ordered ...

Instance may be done in this case also

215
21.9.08

Ambalavanaya
21 9 08

may be forwarded to the General Secretariat. If the Devadaya & Brahmadaya lands can be separately measured up, it would solve the difficulty

08

File No. [illegible] of 190. | Muzrai Secretariat

Forwarded to the Revenue Branch.

By order,
S. A. Subramanya Iyer,
Under Secretary,
Muzrai Branch.
21.9.08.

G P B—3,000—25-9-07

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat	File No. of 190

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

G C No. R. 20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Unofficial Memorandum.



Subtd
The file is on the
Officers' Table
27 8 08

The case noted below ~~were~~ was referred unofficially to the Muzrai Secretary
~~Department,~~ on the ~~dates specified.~~ 4th June 08

Will the Muzrai Secretary ~~Department~~ kindly say when ~~them~~ its return may be expected, or inform the General and Revenue Secretariat what action, if any, has been taken on ~~them~~ it?

It is requested that the General and Revenue Secretariat may be favoured with a reply to this reminder not later than the 5th Proximo

By order

Dated 26 August 1908.

For Secretary

BK
26/8/08

Unofficial No: R 18084-1-08

Appeal of Subrayabhatta Agent of Mulbagal Mutt, Tirthahally Tk re imposition of Khalsat on certain Mutt Inam lands.

Sd in Court
GRS II
26/8/08

Ind Sent with 2 Mrs. Remarks

Kindly Deliver

2